	Applicati n r	vo. —	Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	09/530,965		WARPEHOSKI ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Hong Liu		1624	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) <u>Hong Liu</u> .	(3)			
(2) Mr. Cummingham.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 21 November 2002.				
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant	t's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>4 and 6</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed:				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was no	ot reached. h)] N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicants presented arguments</u> , the examiner will reconsiduil be considered favorably provided no prior art is found.	guments on th der the "negati	e 112, first parac	graph, and 103(a) rejections.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no c allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the ame			
 i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sechecked). 	eparate record	of the substance	e of the interview	(if box is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FOR MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	. (See MPEP MONTH FRO	Section 713.04) M THIS INTERV	. If a reply to the ITEW DATE TO F	last Office
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's signa	ature, if required	
		•	•	